

ACADEMIC SENATE

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES

Wednesday, October 12, 2022

CLA Building, 98, P2-8

Zoom Login: <https://cpp.zoom.us/j/85470475506>

Meeting ID: 854 7047 5506

Password: executive

Attendees: Laura Chase, Saeideh Fallah Fini, Mario Guerrero, Rita Kumar, Kevin Moore, Jocelyn Pacleb, Gabriel Davidov Pardo, Dennis Quinn, Julie Shen, Gwen Urey, Nicholas Von Glahn, and Michelle Yoo

Guests: Keith Forward and Jamie C. Snyder

1) Minutes



FINAL\_Executive\_Co  
mmittee\_Meeting\_M

There was no discussion. Senator Urey motioned to approve the minutes. Senator Shen second.

M/s/p to approve the Executive Committee Meeting Minutes from October 5, 2022.

Zoom Poll Results: Yes – 9 (90%), No – 0 ( 0%), Abstentions – 1 (10%)

2) Chair's Report

Constituent Discussion on AA-012-212 Scheduling Rules Update

Chair Von Glahn mentioned the concerns of faculty members regarding AA-012-212 Scheduling Rules Update Referral and the necessity for extended discussion on the referral at the next Academic Senate meeting. Chair Von Glahn consulted with the Academic Affairs Committee and the senators on how they plan to vote. There were concerns expressed from the College of Business Administration regarding the percentage of online instruction and the overall identity of Cal Poly Pomona as a residential higher learning institution.

Dr. Faye Wachs, former Chair of Academic Affairs Committee, mentioned open forums to gather feedback from faculty members on this referral. The Executive Committee and

the Academic Affairs Committee decided the referral was ready to go before the Academic Senate.

Chair Von Glahn mentioned the feedback mechanism utilized by the Academic Affairs Committee to gather input on the referral. The numbers in the referral have no impact on accreditation. A benchmark study on other campuses was not conducted due to other CSU campuses managing online and in-person pedagogy in real time. The survey was not intended to be used as a scientific survey but for faculty members to provide their feedback on the proposal.

Vice Chair Kumar asked the Executive Committee about their sentiments on the referral. There was a discussion on the general feedback from the colleges and the level of urgency around the referral. Discussion ensued.

### 3) Vice Chair's Report

AA-007-223 Draft Academic Calendar 2023-24 through 2027-28 – **REFERRAL**



draft\_academic\_calendar\_five\_year\_project

There was a discussion on the commencement dates and a suggestion to make Indigenous Day more prominent in the calendar. There was also discussion on the senate's vote on the Thanksgiving Holiday Break and starting the fall semester three days earlier in August. Senator Quinn motioned to send the referral to committee with the recommendations from the Executive Committee. Senator Urey second.

M/s/p to postpone AA-007-223 to the next Executive Committee meeting on October 26, 2022, due to late receipt of an updated version of the referral.

Zoom Poll Results: Yes – 9 (90%), No – 0 (0%), Abstentions – 1 (10%)

### 4) Reports

**Presented by Dr. Jamie C. Snyder, Chair of the Academic Programs Committee**

AP-003-212 Revised Charter for Science, Technology, and Society Major and Minor – **FIRST READING**

Senator Snyder mentioned that the Science, Technology, and Society (STS) is an interdisciplinary program sponsored by the College of Letters, Arts, and Social Sciences. The primary responsibility of the program resides with the Philosophy Department. University policy requires that all interdisciplinary programs have a charter. The charter must include a faculty advisory board, a sponsoring unit, and a program coordinator. It also describes the types of policies that these programs must have in place, how it will be

evaluated and reviewed. STS created a charter, which was approved by the senate in November of 2017, and subsequently approved by the President. The President also added that the college curriculum committees would have to approve any changes to the charter. In spring 2019, the STS program underwent a review. The external reviewers suggested a couple of changes: 1) The advisory board be restructured to include one representative from each college, and 2) create an unconstrained faculty group to provide advice and student project oversight. Dr. Peter Ross, Coordinator of the STS Program, the advisory board and the Philosophy Department got together to revise their charter to implement these changes recommended by the external reviewers. The Academic Programs Committee met and agreed to the restructuring of the board and creating the affiliated faculty group.

Senator Snyder mentioned that during consultation with Drs. Ross and Laura Massa additional revisions were recommended to the charter. They want the charter to have more details of the program coordinator. In the previous charter, the program coordinator was only named but there was no narrative on what qualifications the candidates should possess. These additional details are now in the revised charter. Also, they aligned the program reviews with the new university policy and reorganized the language to clarify the composition and the role of the advisory board and affiliated faculty group. The revised charter went through consultation without objection to the changes.

There was a discussion on having one representative from each college on the advisory board, the broken links associated with the referral, access to the former and new charter material. Senator Snyder mentioned that she only has the new amended version of the charter, and she needs the charter from 2017. Senator Snyder will email all documents to the Executive Committee regarding the referral. The Executive Committee inquired about the placement changes of representatives and the attempt(s) to obtain representatives from each college for the advisory board.

Chair Von Glahn asked the Executive Committee if they want to wait on voting on this referral until they have reviewed the supporting documents. The report is not time sensitive or controversial. Senator Fallah Fini motioned to postpone AP-003-212 to the Academic Senate Meeting Agenda. Senator Urey second.

M/s/p to postpone AP-003-212 to the Academic Senate Meeting Agenda for October 19, 2022.

Zoom Poll Results: Yes – 10 ( 100%), No – 0 ( 0%), Abstentions – 0 (0%)

##### 5) Old Business

**Presented by Drs. Keith Forward and Gwen Urey**

AB928 Singular GE Transfer Pathway Resolutions



AB 928 Resolution Support.pdf



AB 928 Resolution Oppose.pdf



AB 928 Resolution Option 4 v2.pdf



Resolution2--Frosh -FiveUnitsRev.pdf



Senate Resolution in Opposition to th

Dr. Keith Forward explained the resolution voting options to the Executive Committee. There was a discussion on the impact of the GE transfer pathway and the graduation requirements. There was also a discussion about what will happen to the five units that are being removed from GE. Senator Urey mentioned resolution two regarding the five units. Dr. Forward mentioned that the decision about the five units will be made later and possibly by the Board of Trustees. Associate Vice Chancellor Sylvia Alva has not decided about the five units. Dr. Forward noted that if the three-system committee does not come a decision about the GE transfer pathway by May 2023, then the Board of Trustees will make the decision. Important to get feedback to the ASCSU in order to reach an agreement. The three-system committee is made up of faculty members.

Senator Urey mentioned that the Executive Committee needs to figure out how to frame pathways for the next Academic Senate meeting and the low attendance to the sessions last week. Cal Poly Pomona has a ballot from the ASCSU, but it may not fit the feedback from the senate. Senator Urey recommended structuring the ballots into two parts: reject and revision options. Chair Von Glahn suggested the preparation of two resolutions and a call to support the College of Letters, Arts, and Social Science resolution. Dr. Forward presented a resolution with an option to include one unit of first year experience.

The Executive Committee had further discussion on the four options and whether to use the ballot for voting. Poll Option: Support/ Don't Support/ Abstain, Poll Option: Resolution in Opposition to the Reduction of Area C (Arts and Humanities) in the CSU Lower Division GE Pattern, Poll Option: Resolution 2 – fate of five units. Poll Option: Resolution 3 – option to include first year experience. Pathway must be thirty-four units per the legislation. ASCSU will receive input from the 23 CSU campuses.

Senator Urey wrote in chat: That the Cal Poly Pomona Academic Senate communicate to the Academic Senate of the California State University a call for further consultation on the matter of if or how the new lower division general education pattern will be applied to students who do not arrive at Cal Poly Pomona via a transfer path governed by AB 928; and Resolved, That the Cal Poly Pomona Academic Senate communicate to the Academic Senate of the California State University a call for further consultation on the matter of the fate of the five units removed from the lower division general education pattern.

M/s/p to approve the resolutions for the GE Transfer Pathway Vote

The Executive Committee voted unanimously. No Zoom Poll Available.

For the 2022-23 Standing Committee Assignments, the Executive Committee filled the At-Large vacancies as follows:



2022-23\_Committee  
\_Assignments\_10.12

- **Brinna Anan (REL) AP Committee**
- **Janine Riveire (CLASS) GE Committee**
- **Eniko St. Claire (CLASS) AA Committee**
- **Isaac Shankler (CLASS) FA Committee**
- **Kate Ozment (CLASS) GE Committee**

Senator Shen motioned to approve the standing committee assignments at large placement. Senator Urey second.

M/s/p to approve the standing committee at-large assignments.

No Zoom Poll available.

#### 6) New Business

Tentative Academic Senate Meeting Agenda for October 19, 2022

There was a discussion on condensing talk time for the Information Items on the Academic Senate Meeting Agenda. Senator Quinn motioned to approve the Academic Senate Meeting Agenda. Senator Yoo second.

M/s/p to approve the Academic Senate Meeting Agenda for October 19, 2022.

Zoom Poll Results: Yes – 9 (100%), No – 0 (0%). Abstentions – 0 (0%)

#### 7) Discussion

##### Service Opportunity Applicant Statements

To apply for service opportunities, applicants are to submit a written statement of interest between 200 and 500 words. Vice Chair Kumar mentioned some of the statements of interest are below two hundred words. Statements provided by applicants are used by the Executive Committee to appoint the best candidate(s) for a specific service opportunity. Chair Von Glahn mentioned the incumbent offering to provide additional details for the statement of interest. The Executive Committee agreed to short applicant statement of interest being acceptable from incumbents. Discussion ensued.

Adjourned @ 5:26 PM